

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: P. SETO) Examiner: Rupal Dharia
Serial No.: 10/742,302)
Filed: December 18, 2003)
For: ADDRESSES ASSIGNMENT FOR ADAPTOR)
INTERFACES)

)

Sir:

Transmitted herewith in the above-identified application is an:

- Amendment- 17 pages.
 Petition for Extension of Time.
 Replacement Drawings- Sheets.
 No additional fee is required.

The fee has been calculated as shown below:

	Remaining After Amendment		Previously Paid For			Additional Fee
Total Claims	40	Minus	46	=	0	X50
Independent Claims	4	Minus	4	=	0	X210
First Presentation of Multiple Dependant Claim				=	+370	= \$0
					Total	= \$0

Please charge Deposit Account No. 50-0585 the amount of \$ to cover the extension fee and also the amount of \$ to cover the claim fee.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with this communication or any future or concurrent communication or reply, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-0585.

- Any filing fees under 37 CFR 1.16 for the presentation of extra claims.
 Any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR 1.17, including all required extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

/David Victor/
David W. Victor
Registration No. 39,867
KONRAD RAYNES & VICTOR, LLP
315 S. Beverly Drive, Suite 210
Beverly Hills, CA 90212
(310) 556-7983 (voice)

Dated: May 6, 2008

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted through the USPTO EFS-Web system over the Internet to Rupal Dharia on May 6, 2008.

/David Victor/
David W. Victor

5/6/08
Date

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s):	P. SETO	Examiner	Rupal Dharia
Serial No.	10/742,302	Group Art Unit	2141
Filed	December 18, 2003	Docket No.	P17728
TITLE	ADDRESSES ASSIGNMENT FOR ADAPTOR INTERFACES		

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted through the USPTO EFS-Web system over the Internet to Rupal Dharia of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on May 6, 2008.

/David Victor/
David W. Victor

RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION

This amendment is submitted in response to a final office action in the above case dated February 6, 2008 ("Final Office Action") in which the Examiner rejected the claims as anticipated (35 U.S.C. §102) over cited art. On April 9, 2008, the attorney for Applicants and the Examiner held a phone interview to discuss the claim rejections. The Examiner said that amending the independent claims to include the requirements of dependent claims, such as amending claim 1 to include claims 2 and 3, appears to distinguish over the cited art and requested Applicants to submit the claim amendments. Applicants amend the claims as discussed and cancel claims 2, 3, 16, 17, 30, 31, 33, and 34 add to independent claims 1, 15, 29, and 32. Applicants request the Examiner to enter these amendments because they involve adding current dependent claims requirements to independent claims and amending the claims to clarify the claim language to place in condition for allowance. Applicants traverse the prior art rejections and submit that all pending claims 1, 4-15, 18-29, 32, 35-46 are patentable over the cited art and in condition for allowance for the reasons discussed herein.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 11.